

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS,

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO.

09/512,968

02/24/00

HEMBREE

 $\mathbf{p}$ 

MI22-869

al

**EXAMINER** 

MMC2/1026 021567 WELLS ST JOHN ROBERTS GREGORY AND MATKIN **SUITE 1300** 601 W FIRST AVENUE SPOKANE WA 99201-3828

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

2858 DATE MAILED:

10/26/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

		Application No.		pplicant(s)	pplicant(s)	
		09/512,968			HEMBREE, DAVID R.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	1	
		VINH P NGUYEN	1	2858	addross -	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover	sheet with	the correspondence	address	
Period 1	or Renly					
THE - Ext afte - If ti - If N	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, ne period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period fluore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the process of the process of the process of the mailing process of the p	1.136(a). In no event, however, however, however, within the statutory mind will expire	ever, may a rep nimum of thirty SIX (6) MONT	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered  HS from the mailing date of t	timely. his communication. J.	
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	<u>1 October 2001</u> .	• •			
2a)[	] This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ .	This action is non-f	ınaı.	tere evenouition of	to the merits is	
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispos	ition of Claims					
4)∑	Claim(s) <u>1-13,18-26 and 57-62</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application	n.	idorotion		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,13,21,25,57-59</u> a		rawn trom	consideration.		
5)[2	Claim(s) <u>19,20,24,26 and 222</u> is/are allowed	<b>i</b> .				
6)[	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12 and 62</u> is/are rejected.					
7)[	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requir	ement.			
Applic	ation Papers					
9)[	$\sqsupset$ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)[	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) obje	cted to by t	he Examiner.	)F(-)	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be h	eld in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.8	io(a).	
11)[	☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∐ appro	ved b)∟ d	isapproved by the Ex	taminei.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required ir		iction.			
12)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
Priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under	35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been re	ceived.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been re	ceived in A	Application No		
	Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa     See the attached detailed Office action for a	i Bureau (PC i Rui	5 11.2(a <i>jj</i> .		lional Stage	
14)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority under	· 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provi	sional application).	
}	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language     Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	e provisional applic	ation has l	peen received.		
	ment(s)	-				
1) 🛛	Mem(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	4) 3) 5) b(s) <u>12</u> . 6)	Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Pa f Informal Patent Applicat	iper No(s) ion (PTO-152)	
	and Tondomark Office		<u> </u>		Part of Paner No. 16	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/512,968

Art Unit: 2858

1. In the interview with Mr. Shaurette on Oct. 22,2001, Mr. Shaurette confirmed the election of species of figure 3 and indicated that at least claim 1 is generic since the term "wafer holder" is referred to workpiece holder (12) as shown in figures 2 and 3.

- 2. It appears that "contact plate (90)" as recited in claims 3, 13,21,25,58-59 and 61does not have support by figures 2-3; In claim 61, it appears that the workpiece holder of this claim includes a vacuum chamber and this limitation is limited to the species of figure 2 because the workpiece holder (12) of figure 3 does not have vacuum chamber. It appears that claims 3, 13,21,25 and 57-59 are not read on elected species of figure # 3, therefore these claims are withdrawn from consideration.
- 3. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 7-9, it appears that the wafer chuck is not a part of the wafer holder since Applicants defined a wafer holder as "a workpiece holder (12)" as shown in figure 3 does not have a wafer chuck (40) therefore they are improperly claimed.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/512,968

Art Unit: 2858

5. Claims 1,18 and 62 (insofar as understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagayama et al (Pat # 5,378,311).

As to claims 1,18 and 62, Nagayama et al disclose an apparatus having a wafer holder (51) for receiving a wafer (54). It is noted that the holder includes electrical couplings (57-63) for coupling to the wafer. It appears that the wafer inherently has electrical coupling in which the electrical couplings of the holder connected thereto.

6. Claims 1-2,4-7,12,18 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith (5,475,317).

As to claims 1,4-7,12,18 and 62, Smith discloses a singulated bare die tester having a workpiece holder or a chuck (4,12) for receiving an electronic workpiece (2) and for supporting an electronic device (2), an electrical coupling (12a) for electrically coupling the electrical coupling (2a) of the electronic device workpiece (2) and communicated signals between the workpiece and the workpiece holder (12). As to claim 2, Smith discloses a burn-in board configured to receive signal. It would have been well-known that this board is equivalent to the data gathering device since it serve the same purpose as the one in the instant application.

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/512,968

Art Unit: 2858

8. Claim 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al (pat # 5,475,317).

Smith discloses a singulated bare die tester as mentioned in paragraph 4. As to claims 10-11, it would have been well known for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a vacuum chamber in the chuck so that the vacuum holds down the wafer under test.

- 9. Claims 19-20,22-24,26,60 are allowable since the prior art does not disclose an electronic device workpiece processing apparatus having an electronic workpiece including a sensor and an electrical coupling configured to provide electrical connection of the sensor with the electrical coupling of the second surface of the intermediate member.
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nagasaki et al (Pat # 5,886,863) disclose wafer support member.

11 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VINH P. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4914.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

VINH P. NGUYEN

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2858

10/25/2001